

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/508,979	05/10/2000	THOMAS J. HIGGINS	33-00	4903
	590 09/10/2002	-		
GREENLEE WINNER AND SULLIVAN P C 5370 MANHATTAN CIRCLE			EXAMINER	
SUITE 201 BOULDER, C		COLLINS, CYNTHIA E		
BOOLDER, CO 00303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1638	10
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2002	18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

🕰		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/508,979	HIGGINS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cynthia Collins	1638
The MAILING DATE f this communicati n ap	opears on the cover she t with the	corresp ndence address
THE REPLY FILED 13 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The state of the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the 0 timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3	nis Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for ire later than SIX MONTHS from the mail VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 Cod of extension and the corresponding and of the shortened statutory period for rep Office later than three months after the marks.	ling date of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension nount of the fee. The appropriate extension ly originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>13 August 2002</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)	• •	•
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	l because:	
(a) 🛮 they raise new issues that would require fur	rther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);	•
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand NOTE:	celing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	/ S:	
Claim(s) allowed:		•
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-6,8,11-57,64,65,67-69,86-</u>	-94 and 96-100.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·
10.⊠ Other: interview summary attached		ELIZABETH F. McELWAIN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 2. NOTE: 2(a); the claim amendments raise new issues under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph, regarding how the plant would be evaluated for modified content or composition of any metabolites in the storage organs.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are duplicative of those previously addressed; additionally, addition of the limitations "selecting a plant having a modified content or composition, or content and composition, of said metabolite in the storage organ thereof", "selecting a plant having an increased protein nitrogen content in the seeds thereof", "selecting a plant having a modified fatty acid content in the seeds thereof", "selecting a plant having a modified fatty acid composition in the seeds thereof", "selecting a plant having a decreased starch content in the seeds thereof", "selecting a plant having a modified amino acid composition in the seeds thereof", "selecting a plant having a modified fibre content in the seeds thereof", and "selecting a plant having a modified fibre quality in the seeds thereof" does not sufficiently distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art.